arXiv:1612.01394v2 [math.NT] 15 Dec 2016

TWO ELEMENTARY FORMULAE AND SOME COMPLICATED PROPERTIES FOR MERTENS FUNCTION

RONG QIANG WEI

Abstract. Two elementary formulae for Mertens function $M(n)$ are obtained. With these formulae, $M(n)$ can be calculated directly and simply, which can be easily implemented by computer. $M(1) \sim M(2 \times 10^7)$ are calculated one by one. Based on these 2×10^7 samples, some of the complicated properties for Mertens function $M(n)$, its 16479 zeros, the 10043 local maximum/minimum between two neighbor zeros, and the relation with the cumulative sum of the squarefree integers, are understood numerically and empirically.

Keywords:

Mertens function Elementary formula Zeros Local maximum/minimum squarefree integers

1. Introduction

The Mertens function $M(n)$ is important in number theory, especially the calculation of this function when its argument is large. $M(n)$ is defined as the cumulative sum of the Möbius function $\mu(k)$ for all positive integers n,

$$M(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu(k) \tag{1}$$

where the Möbius function $\mu(k)$ is defined for a positive integer k by

$$\mu(k) = \begin{cases} 1 & k = 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } k \text{ is divisible by a prime square} \\ (-1)^m & \text{if } k \text{ is the product of } m \text{ distinct primes} \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

Sometimes the above definition can be extended to real numbers as follows:

$$M(x) = \sum_{1 \le k \le x} \mu(k) \tag{3}$$

Furthermore, Mertens function has other representations. They are mainly shown in formula (4-7):

$$M(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{x^s}{s\zeta(s)} \mathrm{d}s \tag{4}$$

where $\zeta(s)$ is the the Riemann zeta function, s is complex, and $c > 1$

$$M(n) = \sum_{a \in F_n} \exp(2\pi i a) \tag{5}$$

where F_n is the Farey sequence of order n.

$$M(n) = 1 - \sum_{a=2}^{a \le n} 1 + \sum_{a=2}^{a \le \frac{n}{b}} \sum_{b=2}^{b \le \frac{n}{a}} 1 - \sum_{a=2}^{a \le \frac{n}{bc}} \sum_{b=2}^{b \le \frac{n}{ac}} \sum_{c=2}^{c \le \frac{n}{ab}} 1 + \sum_{a=2}^{a \le \frac{n}{bcd}} \sum_{b=2}^{b \le \frac{n}{acd}} \sum_{c=2}^{c \le \frac{n}{abd}} \sum_{d=2}^{b \le \frac{n}{abc}} 1 \ldots \tag{6}$$

Formula (6) shows $M(n)$ is the sum of the number of points under n-dimensional hyperboloids.

$$M(n) = \det R_{n \times n} \tag{7}$$

where R is the Redheffer matrix. $R = \{r_{ij}\}$ is defined by $r_{ij} = 1$ if $j = 1$ or $i|j$, and $r_{ij} = 0$ otherwise.

However, none of the representations above results in practical algorithms for calculating the Mertens function. At present, many algorithms are based or partially based on sieving similar to those used in prime counting (eg., Kotnik and van de Lune, 2004; Kuznetsov, 2011; Hurst, 2016). With the sieve algorithm, $M(x)$ has been computed for all $x \le 10^{22}$(Kuznetsov, 2011). For the isolated values of Mertens function $M(n)$, $M(2^n)$ has been computed for all positive integers $n \le 73$ with combinatorial algorithm (partially sieving) (Hurst, 2016).

On the other hand, there are also some (recursive) formulae for Mertens function $M(n)$ in the mathematical literature by which the practical algorithms for the $M(n)$ can be obtained (eg., Neubauer, 1963; Dress, 1993; Benito and Varona 2008; and the references therein). For example, Benito and Varona (2008) present a two-parametric family of recursive formula as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} 2M(n) + 3 &= \sum_{k=1}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{r+1} \rfloor} g(n,k)\mu(k) \\ &+ \sum_{b=0}^{s} [M(\tfrac{n}{3+6b}) - 2M(\tfrac{n}{5+6b}) + 3M(\tfrac{n}{6+6b}) - 2M(\tfrac{n}{7+6b})] \\ &+ \sum_{a=6s+9}^{r} h(a)[M(\tfrac{n}{a}) - M(\tfrac{n}{a+1})] \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where n, r, and s are three integers such that $s \ge 0$ and $6s + 9 \le r \le n - 1$. $g(n,k) = 3\lfloor \frac{n}{3k} \rfloor - 2\lfloor \frac{n}{2k} - \frac{1}{2} \rfloor$. $h(a) = g(n,k)$ for $\frac{n}{a+1} < k \le \frac{n}{a}$.

Here we introduce two new elementary formulae to calculate the $M(n)$ which are not based on sieving. We calculate Mertens function from $M(1)$ to $M(2 \times 10^7)$ with these formulae one by one, and study some properties of the $M(n)$ numerically and empirically.

2. Two elementary formulae for Mertens function

In Wei (2016), a definite recursive relation for Möbius function is introduced by two simple ways. One is from Möbius transform, and the other is from the submatrix of the Redheffer Matrix.

The recursive relation for Möbius function $\mu(k)$ is,

$$\mu(k) = -\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} l_{km}\mu(m), k = 2, 3, \cdots; l_{km} = \begin{cases} 1 & m|k \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

and $\mu(1) = 1$.

From formula (9), two elementary formulae for Mertens function $M(n)$ can be obtained as follows,

$$M(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \mu(k) = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{n}[-\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} l_{km}\mu(m)], k = 2, 3, \cdots; l_{km} = \begin{cases} 1 & m|k \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

$$M(n) = M(n-1) - \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} l_{nm}\mu(m), n = 2, 3, \cdots; l_{nm} = \begin{cases} 1 & m|n \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

where $M(1)$=1.

With formula (10) or (11), $M(n)$ can be calculated directly and the most complex operation is only the Mod. We calculated the Mertens function from $M(1)$ to $M(2 \times 10^7)$ one by one. Some values of the $M(n)$ are listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Some values of the Mertens function $M(n)$

n	$M(n)$	n	$M(n)$	n	$M(n)$	n	$M(n)$	n	$M(n)$	n	$M(n)$
10	-1	20	-3	30	-3	40	0	50	-3	60	-1
10^2	1	2×10^2	-8	3×10^2	-5	4×10^2	1	5×10^2	-6	6×10^2	4
10^3	2	2×10^3	5	3×10^3	-6	4×10^3	-9	5×10^3	2	6×10^3	0
10^4	-23	2×10^4	26	3×10^4	18	4×10^4	-10	5×10^4	23	6×10^4	-83
10^5	-48	2×10^5	-1	3×10^5	220	4×10^5	11	5×10^5	-6	6×10^5	-230
10^6	212	2×10^6	-247	3×10^6	107	4×10^6	192	5×10^6	-709	6×10^6	257
10^7	1037	2×10^7	-953	3.5×10^6	-138	4.5×10^6	173	5.5×10^6	-513	6.5×10^6	867

3. Some complicated properties for Mertens function $M(n)$

3.1. **Variation of Mertens function $M(n)$ with n.** Figure 1 shows the Mertens function $M(n)$ to $n = 5 \times 10^5$, $n = 1 \times 10^6$, $n = 1.5 \times 10^7$, and $n = 2 \times 10^7$, respectively. It can be found the distribution of Mertens function $M(n)$ is complicated. It oscillates up and down

with increasing amplitude over n, but grows slowly in the positive or negative directions until it increases to a certain peak value.



Fig. 1: Mertens function $M(n)$ to $n = 5 \times 10^5, 1 \times 10^6, 1.5 \times 10^7, 2 \times 10^7$.

To investigate further the complicated properties of the $M(n)$, a sequence composed of $M(1) - M(5 \times 10^5)$ is analyzed by the method of empirical mode decomposition (EMD) (Tan, 2016). This sequence is decomposed into a sum of 19 empirical modes. Some models are shown in Figure 2-3. It can be seen that the empirical modes are still complicated except 16th-19th modes. The fundamental mode (the last mode in Figure 3) is a simple parabola going downward. These empirical modes show further that the Mertens function $M(n)$ has complicated behaviors.

It can be found that there is a different variation of the Mertens function $M(n)$ with n in a log-log space. Figure 4 shows the absolute value of the Mertens function $|M(n)|$ to $n = 2 \times 10^7$ in such a space. It can be found $|M(n)|$ still oscillates but increases generally with n. It seems that the outermost values of $|M(n)|$ increase almost linearly with n, but they are less than those from $\sqrt{n}$.

It seems from Figure 1 and 4 to the $M(n)$ has some fractal properties. We check this by estimating $M(n)$'s power spectral density (PSD). We calculate the PSD for $M(n)$ sequence from $M(1)$ to $M(2 \times 10^7)$ by taking n as time. The result is shown in Figure 5. It can be found that the logarithmic PSD of $M(n)$ has a linear decreasing trend with increasing logarithmic frequency, which does indicate that $M(n)$ has some fractal properties. However, the Möbius function $\mu(n)$, which is taken as an independent random sequence in Wei (2016), has no such properties. Its cumulative sum, $M(n)$ reduces partly the randomness.



Fig. 2: The 9th-12th (from the top to the bottom) empirical modes of the sequence composed of $M(1) - M(5 \times 10^5)$



Fig. 3: The 17th-19th (from the top to the bottom) empirical modes of the sequence composed of $M(1) - M(5 \times 10^5)$

3.2. **Zeros of the Mertens function** $M(n)$**.** It is interesting to investigate the distribution of the zeros of the Mertens function $M(n)$. Our calculation shows that there are



Fig. 4: The absolute value of the Mertens function $M(n)$ to $n = 2 \times 10^7$. The dash line is $\sqrt{n}$.



Fig. 5: The power spectral density (PSD) for $M(n)$ sequence from $M(1)$ to $M(2 \times 10^7)$.

16479 zeros among these 2×10^7 values of the $M(n)$, which are shown in the top subfigure of the Figure 6. It can be seen that the zeros are uneven in our computational domain.

Zeros are denser from 1 to 4×10^6, and at $\sim 8 \times 10^6$. The bottom subfigure of the Figure 6 shows the comparison of the zeros of the Mertens function $M(n)$ and those of the Möbius function $\mu(n)$ in our computational domain. One can see that the (7841425) zeros of the $\mu(n)$ are much denser than those of the $M(n)$.



Fig. 6: Distribution of the zeros of the Mertens function $M(n)$ when $n \in [1, 2 \times 10^7]$ (Top); Comparison of the zeros of the Mertens function $M(n)$ with those of the Möbius function $\mu(n)$(Bottom).

3.3. **The local maximum/minimum of the Mertens function $M(n)$ between two neighbor zeros.** Another important property for the Mertens function $M(n)$ is the local maximum/minimum of the $M(n)$ between two neighbor zeros. Here the "local" means that the sequence of $M(n)$ must have three values at least including the neighbor zeros. Figure 7 shows the variation of such 10043 local maximum/minimum of $|M(n)|$ (5040 positive values and 5003 minus values) from $M(1)$ to $M(2 \times 10^7)$ with n. One can see that the logarithms of the these local maximum/minimum have the similar properties to $\log(|M(n)|)$ vs. $\log(n)$ in Figure 4. It should be pointed out that the local maximum/minimum here is counted only once when duplicate or more n have the same local maximum/minimum between two neighbor zeros.

The maximum of these 2×10^7 $M(n)$s is 1240 when $n = 10195458$, 10195467, 10195468, 10195522; And the minimum is -1447 when $n = 12875814$, 12875815, 12875816, 12875818.



Fig. 7: Variation of the local maximum/minimum of the $M(1) - M(2 \times 10^7)$ with n between two neighbor zeros. The red straight line in each subfigure is $\sqrt{n}$. Top: 5040 maximum values of $M(1) - M(2 \times 10^7)$; Middle: 5003 minimum values; Bottom: All the maximum/minimum (solid circle: maximum; solid square: minimum). In the middle and bottom subfigures, the absolute of the minimums are used.

4. Discussions

4.1. **The calculation of $M(n)$ with the relation (10) or (11).** In theory, we can calculate $M(n)$ for any large n with the relation (10) or (11) obtained in section 2. The algorithm is not complicated and can be implemented easily, even by hand. The most operation is only Mod. However, in order to calculate $M(n)$ with the relation (10), it demands $\mu(2), \mu(3), \ldots \mu(n-1)$ firstly, or $M(1)$, $M(2)$, $\ldots$, $M(n-1)$ if the relation (11) is used. Above all, we have to recalculate l_{km} or l_{nm} firstly when k or n changes. It will take a lot of calculation time, especially when n is large. It took about 78326s to get $\mu(5500000)$. It can be also found that the relation here is not efficient for the isolated $M(n)$.

In this paper, we only calculate the values of $M(n)$ from $M(1)$ to M(2×10^7) because of the limitation of our desktop computer and computing time. To obtain more numerical results of $M(n)$ with large n, both the faster and/or optimization algorithm for the relation (10) or (11) here, or other professional and efficient algorithms, are required.

4.2. **Upper bound of $M(n)$ sequence.** In Wei (2016), the upper bound of $M(n)$ sequence is discussed based on the assumption that $\mu(n)$ is an independent random sequence, because of the numerical consistency between empirical statistical quantities for only 2×10^7

$\mu(n)$ and those from number theory. The following inequality (12) for $M(n)$ holds with a probability of $1-\alpha$,

$$M(n) \leq \sqrt{\frac{6}{\pi^2}} K_{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \sqrt{n} \tag{12}$$

where,

$$\int_{-K_{\alpha/2}}^{K_{\alpha/2}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \exp(-\frac{t^2}{2}) \mathrm{d}t = 1-\alpha \tag{13}$$

or, the following inequality (14) holds with a probability $p > 1-\alpha$

$$M(n) \leq \frac{\sqrt{6/\pi^2}}{\sqrt{\alpha}} \sqrt{n} \tag{14}$$



Fig. 8: Top: Variation of $\frac{\sum|\mu(n)|}{n} - \frac{|M(n)|}{n}$ with n from $n=1$ to $n=2\times 10^7$. Bottom: Variation of $\frac{\sum|\mu(n)|}{n} - \frac{\sum\mu(n)}{n}$ with n from $n=1$ to $n=2\times 10^7$. The red line in each subfigure is $\frac{6}{\pi^2}$.

Here without taking $\mu(n)$ as an independent random sequence, we conjecture that the upper bound of the $M(n)$ should have a similar formula to (12) or (14) but the coefficient before $\sqrt{n}$ will be very large from some facts as the follows:

Fact 1. According to Hardy and Wright (2008), we have,

$$\sum |\mu(n)| = \frac{6}{\pi^2} n + O(n^{1/2}) \tag{15}$$

$$M(n) = \sum \mu(n) = o(n) \tag{16}$$

For a large n, from (15) we have,

$$|\sum |\mu(n)| - \frac{6}{\pi^2} n| = \sum |\mu(n)| - \frac{6}{\pi^2} n \leq A n^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{17}$$

where A is a constant.

Then,

$$\frac{\sum |\mu(n)|}{n} - \frac{6}{\pi^2} \leq A n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{18}$$

According to (16), $|M(n)| = o(n)$. We have,

$$\frac{\sum |\mu(n)|}{n} - \frac{6}{\pi^2} - \frac{|M(n)|}{n} \leq A_1 n^{-\frac{1}{2}} \tag{19}$$

where $A_1 < A$ is another constant for a large n. Further,

$$\sum |\mu(n)| - \frac{6}{\pi^2} n - |M(n)| \leq A_1 n^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{20}$$

Comparing (20) and (17), one can get,

$$|M(n)| \leq (A - A_1) n^{\frac{1}{2}} = C n^{\frac{1}{2}} \tag{21}$$

where C is constant for a large n.

Fact 2. According to Hardy and Wright (2008), among the squarefree numbers those for which $\mu(n) = 1$ and those for which $\mu(n) = -1$ occur with about the same frequency for $M(n) = o(n)$. Since $\sum |\mu(n)| = \frac{6}{\pi^2} n + O(n^{1/2})$, we have,

$$\sum |\mu(n)| + \sum \mu(n) = 2 \sum^{n_e} 1 = 2[\frac{3}{\pi^2} n_e + O({n_e}^{1/2})] \tag{22}$$

$$\sum |\mu(n)| - \sum \mu(n) = -(2 \sum^{n_o} -1) = 2[\frac{3}{\pi^2} n_o + O({n_o}^{1/2})] \tag{23}$$

where n_{e} is squarefree with even number of distinct prime factors, n_{o} with odd number of distinct prime factors.

Thus, we have,

$$\sum |\mu(n)| + \sum \mu(n) - \frac{6}{\pi^2} n_{\mathrm{e}} \leq A_1 n_{\mathrm{e}}^{1/2} \tag{24}$$

$$\sum |\mu(n)| - \sum \mu(n) - \frac{6}{\pi^2} n_{\mathrm{o}} \leq A_2 n_{\mathrm{o}}^{1/2} \tag{25}$$

where $0 < A_2 < A_1$ are two different constants for a large n.

Because those for which $\mu(n) = 1$ and those for which $\mu(n) = -1$ occur with about the same frequency among the squarefree numbers, $n_{\mathrm{e}} = n_{\mathrm{o}} = n'$ for a large n. And from (24) and (25), we have,

$$\sum \mu(n) \leq \frac{A_1 - A_2}{2} n'^{1/2} = C n'^{1/2} \leq C n^{1/2} \tag{26}$$

where C is a constant for a large n.

Fact 3. From (15) and (16), (22) and (23), when n is large, the following should be true:

$$\frac{\sum |\mu(n)|}{n} - \frac{|M(n)|}{n} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \tag{27}$$

$$\frac{\sum |\mu(n)|}{n} - \frac{\sum \mu(n)}{n} = \frac{6}{\pi^2} \tag{28}$$

The variations of $\frac{\sum |\mu(n)|}{n} - \frac{|M(n)|}{n}$ and $\frac{\sum |\mu(n)|}{n} - \frac{\sum \mu(n)}{n}$ with n from $n = 1$ to $n = 2 \times 10^7$ are shown in Figure 8, respectively. It can be found, with increasing n, the values above are close to the constant $\frac{6}{\pi^2}$. The absolute error for $\frac{\sum |\mu(2\times 10^7)|}{2\times 10^7} - \frac{|M(2\times 10^7)|}{2\times 10^7}$ and $\frac{\sum |\mu(2\times 10^7)|}{2\times 10^7} - \frac{\sum \mu(2\times 10^7)}{2\times 10^7}$ to $\frac{6}{\pi^2}$ are -4.6002×10^{-5} and 4.928×10^{-5} respectively.

These above means that the order of the Mertens function $M(n)$ should be about $O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$, even $O(n^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon})$ with $0 \leq \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$. If so, a better result in Ramaré (2013) can infer $M(n)$ should be about $O(0.5n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ for $n \geq 10$, even $O(0.1333n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ for $n \geq 1664$.

Based on these three facts, we conjecture that $M(n)$ should have a similar formula to (12) or (14) for the upper bound of the $M(n)$ like the following,

$\exists C > 0$ and C is very large, $\exists n_0$, $\forall n > n_0$

$$M(n) \leq C n^{1/2} \tag{29}$$

5. Conclusions

Based on the results and discussion above, some conclusions can be drawn as follows,

(1) Two elementary formulae for the Mertens function $M(n)$ are obtained, based on the definite recursive relation for Möbius function introduced in Wei (2016). With these formulae, $M(n)$ can be calculated directly and simply. The most complex operation is only the Mod. However, in the calculation both the efficient and/or optimization algorithm for this relation are required when n is large.

(2) With this relation, $M(1) \sim M(2 \times 10^7)$ are calculated one by one. Numerical results show that Mertens function $M(n)$ have complicated properties. The sequence of $M(1) \sim M(2\times10^7)$ has 19 empirical modes, 16479 zeros, 10043 local maximums/minimums between two neighbor zeros, a maximum of 1240, and a minimum of -1447.

(3) We also calculated the variation of $\frac{\sum|\mu(n)|}{n} - \frac{|M(n)|}{n}$ and $\frac{\sum|\mu(n)|}{n} - \frac{M(n)}{n}$ from $n = 1$ to $n = 2 \times 10^7$, respectively. Numerical results show that these values are close to the constant $\frac{6}{\pi^2}$ with increasing n.

Acknowledges

We thank Dana Jacobsen very much for pointing out the incorrect values about Mertens function $M(n)$ in this e-print and very good comments on the calculating for $M(n)$. We also thank Alisa Sedunova for his very good suggestions.

References

Benito M, Varona J L, 2008, Recursive formulae related to the summation of the Möbius function, The Open Mathematics Journal, 1: 25-34

Dress F, 1993, Fonction sommatiore de la fonction de Möbius. I. Majorations expérimentales. Experiment. Math, 2: 89-98.

Hardy G H, Wright E M. An introduction to the theory of numbers (Sixth Edition). Oxford University Press, 2008

Hurst G, Computations of the Mertens Function and Improved Bounds on the Mertens Conjecture, arXiv:1610.08551, 2016

Kotnik T, van de Lune J, 2004, On the Order of the Mertens Function, Experimental Mathematics, 13 (4): 473-481

Kuznetsov E, Computing the Mertens function on a GPU, arXiv:1108.0135, 2011

Neubauer G, 1963, Eine empirische Untersuchung zur Mertensschen Funktion. Numer. Math. 5: 1-13.

Ramaré O, From explicit estimates for primes to explicit estimates for the Mbius function. Acta Arithmetica, 2013, 157: 365-379.

Tan A, 2016, Hilbert-Huang Transform, http://cn.mathworks.com/matlabcentral/fileexchange/19681-hilbert-huan

Wei RQ, 2016, A recursive relation and some statistical properties for the Möbius function, International Journal of Mathematics and Computer Science, 11(2): 215-248

College of Earth Sciences, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, PRC, 100049

E-mail address: wrq1973@ucas.ac.cn